Engineering Educators Bringing the World Together
2025 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition
Palais des congrès de Montréal, Montréal, QC • June 22–25, 2025 ASEE
Paper ID #47821

Exploring the Intersection between Lifelong Learning and Workforce Development in Engineering

Mr. Arsalan Ashraf, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Arsalan Ashraf is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Engineering Education at Virginia Tech. His research interests include AI ethics, ethics and social responsibility, and lifelong learning. He has broad experience in academia and industry, which motivates him to do research on these vibrant areas. He is a first-generation student from a small village in Punjab, Pakistan. He completed his B.S. in Aviation Management from Lahore in 2017, and then worked in the aviation industry. After gaining experience, he changed his career path and went to academia as a lecturer at The University of Faisalabad in 2022. He joined Virginia Tech in the fall of 2024.

Bailey Kathryn McOwen, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Bailey McOwen is a Ph.D. student in Engineering Education at Virginia Tech with an academic foundation in physics and industrial engineering. Her research focuses on workforce development, professional training for engineering practitioners, and engineering ethics, with an emphasis on how emerging technologies can enhance continued education. Through her research, service, and academic work, she aims to bridge engineering education and industry needs to support ethical, lifelong learning across diverse engineering pathways.

Emad Ali, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Emad Ali is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Engineering Education at Virginia Tech. His research focuses on the integration of artificial intelligence in engineering education with particular emphasis on its ethical implications. He holds a master's degree in Electrical Engineering with specialization in Embedded Systems, from the Information Technology University (ITU Lahore), as well as a Bachelor's degree in Avionics Engineering from the National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad. He has worked as TA of multiple undergraduate and graduate courses during his Masters in ITU and as a Lecturer in the Superior University in Lahore. He aims to contribute to the advancement of educational practices in engineering by addressing both the opportunities and challenges presented by the emerging technologies.

Dr. Dayoung Kim, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Dr. Dayoung Kim is an Assistant Professor of the Department of Engineering Education (College of Engineering) at Virginia Tech and a Director of the LABoratory for Innovative and REsponsible ENgineering workforce (LAB-IREEN). She conducts research in engineering practice and workforce development (e.g., practices and experiences of, and competencies required for, engineers in various employment settings, such as business organizations and government agencies; workforce challenges and opportunities from emerging technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence), engineering ethics (e.g., social responsibility of engineering professionals and its education), and related policy concerns. Dr. Kim's research aims to identify effective strategies to cultivate an innovative and responsible engineering workforce through educational initiatives and science & technology policy. She received her Ph.D. in Engineering Education at Purdue University (2022) and received her B.S. and M.S. in Chemical Engineering at Yonsei University (2017) and Purdue University (2021) respectively. She was the 2022 Christine Mirzayan Science & Technology Policy Graduate Fellow of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and received the 2022 College of Engineering Outstanding Graduate Student Research Award from Purdue University. She was also a Bilsland Fellow of the College of Engineering at Purdue University when she was a Ph.D. student.

Exploring the Intersection between Lifelong Learning and Workforce Development in Engineering

Abstract

The rapid pace of technological innovation is reshaping the engineering profession, creating both opportunities and challenges. As technological advancements such as artificial intelligence redefine traditional engineering job roles, engineers are under increasing pressure to continuously update their skills and adapt to evolving demands. This highlights the importance of lifelong learning as a cornerstone of professional growth and career sustainability in the modern workforce. This study explores the intersection between lifelong learning and workforce development by reviewing existing literature on lifelong learning and engineering workforce development. Lifelong learning is essential for equipping engineers with critical skills necessary for a rapidly changing work environment. Engineers who embrace lifelong learning remain competitive and versatile, while organizations gain a workforce equipped to handle technological shifts and maintain innovation. In this paper, we argue that lifelong learning serves as a critical bridge between individual engineers' professional development and the evolving demands of engineering workforce development. Lifelong learning is not merely an individual pursuit but a strategic imperative for engineering workforce development.

Introduction

The rapid evolution of technology is profoundly reshaping the engineering workforce. Emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), are not only redefining traditional engineering roles but also requiring professionals to continuously acquire new skills and adapt to evolving demands [1]. The World Economic Forum's 2023 report predicts that six in ten current workers will require additional training within the next 4 years [2]. While these technological advancements create promising career opportunities, they simultaneously render some established roles obsolete [1]. According to a survey conducted at the World Economic Forum, AI is expected to cause significant workforce turnover, with 50% of organizations forecasting job growth and 25% predicting job losses [2]. Consequently, engineers face the dual challenge of keeping pace with technological changes while maintaining the competencies needed for future success [1]. The growing emphasis on skill enhancement highlights the critical role of lifelong learning in ensuring engineers remain competitive and adaptable in a rapidly changing industry landscape, where professional growth hinges on a strong commitment to continuous learning.

Lifelong learning is particularly crucial in this context as engineers must regularly update their technical and non-technical competencies to navigate the evolving demands of their field [3, 4]. Through lifelong learning, engineers can be better prepared to establish and sustain their occupational paths. By integrating lifelong learning into an engineer's career, engineers will be able to effectively tackle the complexities of modern engineering and actively contribute to innovation and problem-solving at their workplace. This is because lifelong learning will enable engineers to adapt to new challenges, embrace emerging technologies, and stay competitive in the workforce [5]. By cultivating a culture of continuous learning, engineers are not only able to sustain their careers but also position themselves for growth, ensuring they are equipped to meet both current and future demands.

In today's competitive workplace, continuous performance improvement is a fundamental requirement for organizational success [6]. Rapid industry shifts, growing competition, demographic changes, and globalization underscore the need for organizations to align learning and development efforts with strategic goals. This need is particularly critical in engineering, where emerging technologies, evolving industry standards, and complex regulatory landscapes demand a highly skilled and adaptable workforce [7]. Investing in engineering workforce development ensures that professionals remain equipped with the latest technical expertise and problem-solving capabilities necessary to drive innovation and maintain a competitive edge. As advancements in technology, standards, and policies reshape engineering practices, timely and relevant training programs become essential. Workforce development efforts, including in-person workshops, self-study materials, and online courses, address both discipline-specific content and organizational dynamics, contributing significantly to organizational growth [8].

Despite extensive research on lifelong learning and workforce development as distinct concepts, there is limited exploration of their intersection in the context of engineering. Therefore, this paper examines how lifelong learning intersects with workforce development by synthesizing existing research to identify practical implications for the engineering profession.

Lifelong Learning

Lifelong learning has been extensively discussed in academic literature with definitions underscoring its importance for personal and professional growth. Dunlap and Grabinger describe lifelong learning as intentional, ongoing learning undertaken to achieve personal and professional fulfillment [9]. Similarly, Srebrenkoska et al. [10] elaborate that lifelong learning is the continuous acquisition of knowledge and skills throughout life, adaptable across time and place. Jarvis offers a broader perspective, portraying lifelong learning as a transformative process affecting an individual's physical, intellectual, emotional, and social experiences, resulting in personal growth when integrated into their life narrative [11].

In the context of engineering education, lifelong learning acts as a vital approach to addressing the demands of a dynamic technological and professional landscape. Engineers are required to consistently update their knowledge and skills to align with evolving technologies and labor market demands [12, 13]. Lifelong learning plays a critical role in maintaining employability and adaptability in this dynamic environment [14]. To support this, higher education institutions have integrated lifelong learning competencies such as self-directed learning and effective communication into their curricula. These competencies are reinforced through initiatives like team-based projects, technical communication courses, and other skill-building activities designed to prepare graduates for the workforce [15].

Key competencies for lifelong learning include self-reflection and self-regulation which enable learners to identify areas for improvement and take deliberate actions for improvement [16]. Self-directed learning, characterized by individuals taking the initiative to assess their own educational needs, set objectives, and evaluate outcomes, is also an important element of lifelong learning [17]. Strategies such as self-reflection, self-regulation, and proactive learning empower individuals to take control of their growth. Froehle et al [18] highlight the significance of experiential learning, particularly through mentorship and coaching, as vital components of self-directed learning. These experiences foster independence, creativity, and critical thinking, all of which are essential for personal development and career advancement.

Information literacy is a foundational skill that supports lifelong learning [19]. Doyle

defines it as the ability to access, evaluate, and use diverse information sources effectively [20]. This competency underpins lifelong learning by enabling learners to grasp content, delve deeper into studies, and assume control over their educational journey [21]. Many accreditation bodies, such as ABET, recognize the importance of information literacy and include it in their standards [22]. In engineering, information literacy is essential for staying current with new technologies, making informed decisions, and driving innovation in the field. Ultimately, it ensures that engineers can continuously and effectively contribute to their profession and the broader technological landscapes.

Numerous initiatives have aimed to foster lifelong learning in engineering education. The Goals Committee on Engineering Education's 1968 report highlighted the importance of continuous learning, which was further emphasized at the ASEE's 1978 conference on "Career Management Lifelong Learning" [23]. The urgency for lifelong learning has grown with technological advancements and globalization, as reflected in the National Academy of Engineering's *The Engineer of 2020* report [24]. ABET's EC2000 accreditation criteria explicitly integrate lifelong learning by requiring undergraduate programs to prepare students to recognize and engage in continuous learning throughout their careers [24].

The National Research Council's report, *Lifelong Learning Imperative in Engineering: Summary of a Workshop*, provides a comprehensive overview of lifelong learning's role in engineering [25]. It underscores the necessity for engineers to stay updated with technological advancements, highlights employer responsibilities in fostering ongoing education, and advocates for incentives that encourage engineers to pursue further learning. This report suggests designing a convenient infrastructure for self-assessment and support system between the CEOs of the company and middle management regarding the importance of lifelong learning. This report also calls for a collaborative effort among educational institutions, industry, and professional organizations to address challenges in engineering education and practice, ensuring that the profession remains adaptable and innovative in the 21st century [25].

The significance of lifelong learning extends beyond individual and organizational benefits. It is a catalyst for industry-wide innovation and adaptability, empowering engineers to lead advancements that shape the future. As industries evolve, so too must the workforce. Engineers who actively pursue skill enhancement and knowledge acquisition will be instrumental in driving progress across diverse sectors, from sustainable development to cutting-edge technologies.

Engineering Workforce Development

In the current technological era, workforce development has evolved as an effective tool for achieving long-term success and adaptability across various sectors. Multiple authors define workforce development based on their understanding: "Workforce development is the coordination of public and private sector policies and programs that provide individuals with the opportunity for a sustainable livelihood and helps organizations achieve exemplary goals, consistent with the societal context" Jacobs and Hawley [26] p.7. Similarly in the context of engineering, Perez and Holzer [27] p.2, defines workforce development as "workforce development includes any occupational preparation that individuals receive through degree, certificate, or other programs, either for academic credit or no credit. It includes training provided by postsecondary institutions and other providers (e.g., adult learning, registered apprenticeships) and the full range of work- based learning opportunities where workers gain skills on or through their jobs".

Various factors have shaped the focus of workforce development efforts. Jacob and Hailey [26] identified five primary drivers influencing this process: globalization, technological advancements, the new economy, political changes, and demographic shifts. Additionally, other studies have examined critical factors impacting workforce development efforts, emphasizing areas such as the need for new competencies to thrive in the digital revolution, challenges posed by labor market changes, job displacement driven by automation and AI, and broader societal impacts which include economic inequality, skill shortages, social instability, mental health concerns, and changes in workplace culture [28].

Developing a competent technical workforce requires examining the contents of training and workforce development efforts and determining specific skill requirements of industries aligning with employer needs [29]. *Developing a National STEM Workforce Strategy* workshop highlights a significant disparity between the knowledge, skills, and abilities commonly desired by employers and the competencies of engineering students typically possess upon entering the workforce after graduation [29]. By fostering collaboration between employers and colleges/universities to bridge this gap, and by creating both campus-based and work-based learning opportunities that help students cultivate these essential skills, there is potential to better equip graduates for workplace success early in their careers [29].

Motivation for addressing the workforce gap arises from the disparity between the skills employer's desire and the capabilities that students possess in the labor market. In addition to utilizing technical abilities on the job, employers seek candidates who can communicate effectively, including with clients; collaborate in teams with strong communication skills; solve problems; demonstrate strong ICT (information and communication technology) abilities; show eagerness and capability for learning; and adapt to various work environments [30].

To address the challenges associated with workforce development, several skilled workforce frameworks have been established. Among these, two prominent frameworks stand out. Both frameworks are notable for their systematic synthesis of essential non-technical competencies, providing structured approaches to skill development that are responsive to the demands of modern workplaces: The "MIT Jameel World Education Lab Human Skills Matrix (HSX)" [31] and "The Virginia Workplace Readiness Skills Framework" [32]. Both frameworks are designed to identify the essential skills needed by employees and employers, thereby facilitating the workforce development process.

The HSX Matrix, developed by MIT's Abdul Latif Jameel World Education Lab, identifies 24 essential human skills critical for success in the rapidly evolving digital economy [31]. These skills include self-management and external behaviors, equipping individuals to adapt and excel across diverse industries. The HSX serves as a valuable tool for educators, employers, and policymakers to understand and nurture these competencies [31]. Similarly, the Virginia Workplace Readiness Skills Framework, established in 1998 at the Weldon Cooper Research Center at the University of Virginia, focuses on defining the essential skills necessary for employee success [33]. With over 25 years of proven effectiveness, this framework has become a foundational component of the state's Career and Technical Education curriculum, fostering a symbiotic relationship between employers and employees [33].

The framework categorizes workforce readiness skills into three key domains: (1) personal qualities and abilities, (2) interpersonal skills, and (3) professional competencies needed for success in a workplace [33]. Personal qualities include creativity, critical thinking, initiative, integrity, and a strong work ethic. Interpersonal skills focus on conflict resolution, effective communication, respect for diversity, service orientation, and teamwork. Professional

competencies cover big-picture thinking, career and life management, continuous learning and adaptability, efficiency and productivity, information literacy, information security, IT proficiency, using job-specific tools, mathematics, professionalism, reading and writing, and maintaining workplace safety.

Likewise, the Virginia Workplace Readiness Skills Framework significantly emphasizes professional competencies. This indicates a stronger focus on professional competencies in workforce development programs. These competencies are also vital in engineering, although the list of competencies specifically tailored to engineering workforce readiness would be needed.

Connecting Lifelong Learning and Engineering Workforce Development

Lifelong learning serves as a critical bridge between individual engineers' professional development and the evolving demands of engineering workforce development. As discussed in the previous sections, in an era of rapid technological advancements and globalization, engineers must continuously acquire new skills to remain competitive. The ongoing learning process of individual engineers namely, lifelong learning of engineers not only can help individual engineers remain competitive in the labor market but also can enhance an organization's productivity, foster workforce innovation, and strengthen workforce capabilities, ultimately contributing to the sustainability of the organization in the industry [30].

From an organization's perspective, fostering a culture of lifelong learning is essential for maintaining a competitive workforce. Employers benefit when engineers regularly reskill and upskill, as this ensures that employees remain proficient in the latest technological advancements and contribute to business agility and market relevance. Moreover, lifelong learning fosters a culture of adaptability within an organization by enabling engineers to transition seamlessly between roles and projects [34]. Adaptability enhances workforce efficiency and promotes an environment of continuous innovation. Organizations that prioritize employee learning and actively invest in it would gain a competitive advantage as their workforce can rapidly respond to technological disruptions and industry shifts [35]. Consequently, lifelong learning should not be viewed solely as an individual responsibility but as an integral component of workforce development. By promoting continuous learning within their organizations, employers can build a resilient workforce capable of adapting to emerging industry trends.

To effectively integrate lifelong learning into workforce development, collaboration between industry, academia, and professional bodies is essential. These partnerships ensure that learning opportunities align with current and future industry needs, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application [36]. Joint initiatives such as industry-sponsored certification programs or academic-industry research collaborations can provide engineers with targeted skill development while fostering innovation ecosystems that benefit both individuals and organizations.

To stay professional and keep up with the rapid advancements in technology, engineers must pursue continuous education. A variety of programs, such as in-person instruction, online courses, and live lectures, are offered by universities, professional associations, and industry [37]. While fostering a comprehensive perspective of their field, these programs assist engineers in keeping up with changing standards, technologies, and licensing requirements [38, 39]. For instance, the University of Florida and Texas A&M University are noted for their contributions to continuing education in engineering [38, 39]. In addition to that, the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) plays a significant role in developing policies and programs for continuing education [40]. By engaging with these programs, engineers can improve their skills and stay

abreast with industry standards.

Furthermore, the integration of digital learning technologies has transformed how organizations can make lifelong learning opportunities more accessible to engineers. Tools such as AI-powered learning platforms, micro-credentialing systems, and virtual simulations enable personalized, flexible, and scalable professional development [41]. These technologies allow engineers to access targeted learning resources in real time, which ensures that workforce competencies evolve in parallel with technological advancements [42]. As a result, digital solutions not only enhance individual skill acquisition but also support organizational agility by embedding continuous learning into daily workflows.

Programs such as Google's "Grow with Google," Amazon's "Amazon Learning Library," and IBM's "IBM Skills Academy" are prime examples of initiatives by leading organizations designed to foster lifelong learning among their employees [43-45]. These programs play a key role in enhancing organizational competitiveness by cultivating a culture of continuous learning and adaptability to dynamic market demands. They empower employees to upskill, reskill, and stay relevant with industry trends. The impact of these programs on competitiveness is significant, as they contribute to the development of a more agile and knowledgeable workforce. This, in turn, drives innovation, boosts productivity, and strengthens the overall profitability and long-term success of these organizations [46].

With rapid technological advancements, workforce requirements also shift accordingly, and this necessitates a more structured, organization-wide approach to fostering lifelong learning of individual engineers [47]. In response, there have been growing demands to develop standardized criteria to assess individual employees' professional growth and job performance [48]. Recognizing the critical role of lifelong learning in engineering, key stakeholders of the lifelong learning efforts of engineers, including higher education institutions, employers, and graduates, have increasingly engaged in developing assessment frameworks that support lifelong learning [49].

These frameworks will enable organizations to identify skill gaps and customize their development programs to address them effectively. This strategy will not only improve individual capabilities but also synchronize employee development with organizational goals, cultivating a more adaptable and proficient workforce. Additionally, implementing these frameworks allows for more efficient allocation of resources, enabling organizations to invest in training and development efforts that provide the highest return on investment, benefiting both employee advancement and overall organizational performance. In summary, lifelong learning is not merely an individual pursuit but a strategic imperative for engineering workforce development. By embedding continuous learning into professional practice, engineers, employers, and educational institutions can collectively drive technological progress, organizational resilience, and long-term industry sustainability.

Conclusion

As the pace of technological advancement accelerates, engineers must adopt a proactive approach to professional growth by embracing lifelong learning. This paradigm ensures not only individual adaptability and employability but also contributes to organizational innovation and resilience. Through structured initiatives, such as those implemented by leading organizations like Google, Amazon, and IBM, a culture of continuous learning becomes the cornerstone of sustainable competitive advantage. In this paper, we argued that lifelong learning is not merely an individual pursuit but a strategic imperative for engineering workforce development. Future

research could explore the distinct challenges faced by engineers in specialized sectors like aerospace, healthcare, or renewable energy, and the strategies to foster lifelong learning of engineers in their own contexts. These sectors demonstrate fields where lifelong learning is not only beneficial but also essential for both individual career endurance and societal impact. Studies can provide targeted recommendations to enhance workforce development efforts in these specific sectors.

References

[1] G. Lokesh, K. Harish, V. S. Sangu, S. Prabakar, V. S. Kumar, and M. Vallabhaneni, "AI and the Future of Work: Preparing the Workforce for Technological Shifts and Skill Evolution," in *2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS)*, 2024, vol. 1: IEEE, pp. 1-6.

[2] World Economic Forum, "Future of Jobs Report," p. 296, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2023.pdf.

[3] A. Naimpally, H. Ramachandran, and C. Smith, "Lifelong learning for engineers and scientists in the information age," 2011.

[4] O. K. Sabri, "Lifelong Learning for Engineers: A Literature Review," *International Society for Technology, Education, and Science,* 2023.

[5] S. Chakrabarti, P. Caratozzolo, B. Norgaard, and E. Sjoer, *Preparing Engineers for Lifelong Learning in the Era of Industry 4.0*. 2021, pp. 518-523.

[6] S. Chuang, "The applications of constructivist learning theory and social learning theory on adult continuous development," *Performance Improvement,* vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 6-14, 2021.

[7] D. Kim, Q. Zhu, and H. Eldardiry, "Toward a policy approach to normative artificial intelligence governance: Implications for AI Ethics Education," *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society,* 2024.

[8] K. N. Chang, B. Lutz, and S. Brown, "Workforce Development Needs and Objectives of Today's Transportation Engineering Professionals," *Transportation Research Record,* vol. 2674, no. 9, pp. 148-156, 2020.

[9] J. C. Dunlap and S. Grabinger, "Preparing students for lifelong learning: A review of instructional features and teaching methodologies," *Performance Improvement Quarterly,* vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 6-25, 2003.

[10] V. Srebrenkoska, S. Mitrev, T. Pacemska, and I. Karov, "Lifelong Learning for Creativity and Innovation," in *International Scientific Conference*, 2014, pp. 21-22.

[11] P. Jarvis, *The Routledge international handbook of lifelong learning*. Routledge London, 2009.

[12] European Commission, Directorate-General for Education, Sport, and Culture, *Key competences for lifelong learning*. Publications Office, 2019.

[13] "Future-Ready Adult Learning Systems," *Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development,* 2019.

[14] A. Kwiatkiewicz-Mory, "Reducing labour shortages by improving skills matching," 2019.

[15] R. Stevens, A. Johri, K. O'connor, and B. Olds, "Professional engineering work," *Cambridge handbook of engineering education research,* pp. 119-137, 2014.

[16] C. Aerts, "Lifelong learning for engineers–Tackling the hurdles," *University-Industry Innovation Network Connect,* 2020.

[17] R. Carlson, "The Making of an Adult Educator. Malcolm Knowles. 1989. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass," *Canadian Journal for the Study of Adult Education,* vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 81-86, 1992.

[18] K. Froehle, L. Dickman, A. R. Phillips, H. Murzi, and M. Paretti, "Understanding lifelong learning and skills development: Lessons learned from practicing civil engineers," *Journal of Civil Engineering Education,* vol. 148, no. 4, p. 04022007, 2022.

[19] E. Kiliç-Çakmak, "Learning strategies and motivational factors predicting information literacy self-efficacy of e-learners," *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology,* vol. 26, no. 2, 2010.

[20] C. S. Doyle, "Outcome Measures for Information Literacy within the National Education Goals of 1990. Final Report to National Forum on Information Literacy. Summary of Findings," 1992.

[21] The Association of College and Research Libraries, "Information Literacy CompetencyStandards for Higher Education," p. 20, 2000. [Online]. Available: https://alair.ala.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/ce62c38e-971a-4a98-a424-7c0d1fe94d34/content.

[22] ABET, "Criteria for Accrediting Engineering Programs," p. 56, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.abet.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/2024-2025_EAC_Criteria.pdf.

[23] American Society for Engineering Education. Goals Committee, "Goals of Engineering

Education; Final Report of the Goals Committee," 1968: American Society for Engineering Education.
[24] B. Trilling and C. Fadel, "National Academy Of Engineering.(2004). The Engineer of 2020: Visions of Engineering in the New Century," *21st century skills: learning for life in our times,* 2004.
[25] National Academy of Engineering, "Lifelong Learning Imperative in Engineering," 2010.
[26] R. L. Jacobs and J. D. Hawley, "The emergence of 'workforce development': Definition, conceptual boundaries and implications," *International handbook of education for the changing world of work: Bridging academic and vocational learning,* pp. 2537-2552, 2009.
[27] I. Perez-Johnson and H. Holzer, "The importance of workforce development for a future-ready, resilient, and equitable American economy," *American Institute for Research. https://www. air. org/sites/default/files/WDEMP-Importance-of-Workforce-Development-Brief-April-2021. pdf,* 2021.
[28] S. Ivaldi, G. Scaratti, and E. Fregnan, "Dwelling within the fourth industrial revolution: organizational learning for new competences, processes and work cultures," *Journal of Workplace Learning,* vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 1-26, 2022.
[29] J. Alper, *Developing a national STEM workforce strategy: A workshop summary*. National Academies Press, 2016.
[30] Geneva. International Labour Office, "Supervisors Guide to Productivity Improvement." [Online]. Available: https://pdf4pro.com/view/supervisors-guide-to-productivity-improvement-1db7ee.html.
[31] The MIT Jameel World Education Lab, "Human Skills Matrix," 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.jwel.mit.edu/ideas/resources/human-skills-matrix.
[32] K. P. Crespin, S. Holzman, A. Muldoon, and S. Sen, "Framework for the future: Workplace readiness skills in Virginia," *University of Virginia Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service Demographic Research Group. Retrieved August,* vol. 20, p. 2023, 2019.
[33] I. Victoria, L. Cruz Castro, and I. Alarcón, *A Systematized Literature Review on Workforce Development Programs for Engineering Graduate Students*. 2024.
[34] F. N. H. Sadek, "Taxonomy of a Learning Engineering Organization," 2024.
[35] R. Noe, A. Clarke, and H. Klein, "Learning in the Twenty-First-Century Workplace," *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior,* vol. 1, pp. 245-275, 03/21 2014, doi: 10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091321.
[36] S. Ankrah and O. Al-Tabbaa, "Universities-Industry Collaboration: A Systematic Review," *SSRN Electronic Journal,* 01/01 2015, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2596018.
[37] M. A. Fischetti and J. Morgan, "Model programs: There is a smorgasbord of offerings from universities, industry, professional societies, and commercial firms," *IEEE Spectrum,* vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 98-101, 1984, doi: 10.1109/MSPEC.1984.6370346.
[38] J. F. K. Earle, "Continuing Education for Practicing Engineers," in *ASME 2002 Citrus Engineering Conference*, 2002, vol. ASME 2002 Citrus Engineering Conference, pp. 71-75, doi: 10.1115/cec2002-4806. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1115/CEC2002-4806
[39] G. Stukhart, "Continuing Education for Engineers: University's Role," 1989. [Online]. Available: https://ascelibrary-org.ezproxy.lib.vt.edu/doi/pdf/10.1061%2F(ASCE)1052-3928(1989)115%3A4(398).
[40] Howard I. Epstein, "Continuing Education — look to the future," 1987. [Online]. Available: https://ascelibrary-org.ezproxy.lib.vt.edu/doi/pdf/10.1061%2F(ASCE)1052-3928(1987)113%3A1(2).
[41] M. Su, L. Ma, D. Zhang, A. yunusa-kaltungo, and C. Cheung, "Exploring Benefits and Concerns of Incorporating Digital Tools into Engineering Education," *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy,* vol. 6, pp. 45-51, 01/26 2025, doi: 10.24018/ejedu.2025.6.1.909.
[42] W. Strielkowski, V. Grebennikova, A. Lisovskiy, G. Rakhimova, and T. Vasileva, "AI-driven adaptive learning for sustainable educational transformation," *Sustainable Development,* 10/03

2024, doi: 10.1002/sd.3221.
[43] S. Alamuri and V. Chittipaka, "Corporate learning in a disruptive digital era: a new global organizational paradigma (A case-study of IBM's Your Learning Platform)," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://ojs.brazilianjournals.com.br/ojs/index.php/BRJD/article/view/69568.
[44] T. Finkle, "Corporate Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Silicon Valley: The Case of Google, Inc," *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice,* vol. 36, pp. 863-884, 07/01 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2010.00434.x.
[45] G. L. Goteng, M. M. C. Shohel, and F. Tariq, "Enhancing Student Employability in Collaboration with the Industry: Case Study of a Partnership with Amazon Web Services Academy," *Education Sciences,* vol. 12, no. 6, p. 366, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/12/6/366.
[46] Psico-smart.com, "How can companies promote lifelong learning for digital skills development?," ed, 2024.
[47] Q. Iqbal and N. Ahmad, "Sustainable development: The colors of sustainable leadership in learning organization," *Sustainable Development,* vol. 29, pp. 1-12, 09/24 2020, doi: 10.1002/sd.2135.
[48] M. Jirgensons a, "Direct Assessment Initiatives within a Lifelong Learning Context," *ELSEVIER,* 2014.
[49] S. M. L. John C. Chen, Karen J McGaughey,, "Engineering Students' Development as Lifelong Learners," 2013.