

## **Why STEM? The External Factors Influencing International STEM Postdoctoral Scholars' Career Decision**

**Dr. Sylvia L. Mendez, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs**

Dr. Sylvia Mendez is a Professor of Leadership, Research, and Foundations at the University of Colorado Colorado Springs. She earned a PhD in Educational Leadership and Policy Studies from the University of Kansas, a MS in Student Affairs in Higher Education from Colorado State University, and a BA in Economics from Washington State University. She is engaged in several National Science Foundation-sponsored collaborative research projects focused on broadening participation and success in STEM academia. Her research centers on creating inclusive higher education policies and practices that advance faculty careers and student success.

**Ms. Kathryn Watson, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs**

Kathryn is a doctoral student at University of Colorado Colorado Springs in Education Leadership, Research, and Policy. Her studies focus on supporting student mental health in secondary education. Kathryn's prior education includes a Master's from Marist

# **Why STEM? The External Factors Influencing International STEM Postdoctoral Scholars' Career Decision**

## **Abstract**

This research paper explores the external factors that influence international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue a career in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM). Understanding these factors may be critical as the U.S. grapples with the need to broaden and diversify participation in the global STEM workforce. Duffy and Dik (2009) identified four key external factors that influence a person's career decision: (1) family expectations and needs, (2) life circumstances, (3) spiritual and religious reasons, and (4) social service motivations. Using an instrumental case study design (Stake, 1995), interviews with 20 international STEM postdoctoral scholars occurred to explore the external factors that influenced their STEM career decision deductively. Three themes emerged: (1) parents were highly encouraging, (2) a love of science was nurtured in school, and (3) they were eager to engage in and promote scientific innovation. These findings illustrate the ways in which family, schools, and community influence the STEM career trajectories of international postdoctoral scholars. This knowledge base can be valuable when seeking to recruit and retain them in the U.S. STEM workforce. The identified factors also could be particularly instructive to U.S. primary and secondary school teachers and administrators, as well as U.S. higher education faculty.

## **Introduction**

Understanding the external factors that influence international science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) postdoctoral scholars to pursue a career in STEM may be critical information to leverage as the U.S. grapples with the need to broaden and diversify participation in the global STEM workforce. Little research has been devoted to international postdoctoral scholars, despite a stark increase in this population obtaining their advanced STEM degrees in the U.S. and seeking to remain in the country (Adhikari, 2017). An instrumental case study design is employed to explore the external factors that influenced international STEM postdoctoral scholars' STEM career decisions (Stake, 1995). Interviews with 20 international STEM postdoctoral scholars were analyzed deductively with the key external factors influencing a person's career decision identified by Duffy and Dik (2009). The research question guiding this study is: What external factors influence international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue a STEM career? This research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF) Alliance for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP; award #1821008).

## **Literature Review**

Broadening and diversifying participation in the STEM workforce is critical to expanding the U.S. economy. In an effort to cultivate individuals prepared to enter advanced STEM workforces in academia, industry, and government, postdoctoral positions in these fields have grown substantially over the last decade (National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics [NCSES], 2023). During their time as postdoctoral scholars, these individuals develop critical labor skills in their field while being further socialized into academic research (Hudson et al., 2018). A growing population pursuing these positions in the U.S. are international PhDs. This

increase in diversity highlights various cultural motivations for entering STEM fields, which can be studied. Existing literature has identified four key external factors influencing a person's career development process: familial influence, life circumstances, religion and spirituality, and social service motivations (Duffy & Dik, 2009).

Familial influences are widely recognized as a significant predictor of motivation to work in a STEM career (Abe & Chikoko, 2020; Craig et al., 2018; Haley et al., 2014; Hernandez et al., 2011; Ing, 2014; Mau et al., 2020; Sawitri et al., 2015; Šimunović & Babarović, 2021; Yerdelen et al., 2016). Research has revealed that parental encouragement in STEM directly influences children's mathematics achievement, which is positively related to pursuing a STEM career (Ing, 2014). In fact, parental support has a more significant influence on academic achievement across educational levels than that of teachers, counselors, friends, and other family members (Ing, 2014). When parents act as early academic motivators through encouragement, praise, and rewards, children experience increased intrinsic motivation and a deep sense of curiosity and fortitude when facing academic adversity (Ing, 2014). Additionally, parents outside the U.S. have reported encouraging their children to study in the U.S. due to a perception of a strong STEM research environment (Stephan et al., 2015).

While parental influence significantly predicts a child's achievement and persistence in STEM, familial individualistic and collectivist cultural differences can also play a role. Individualistic cultures are traditionally associated with Western society, where ties between people and communities are loose. Thus, individuals are expected to care for themselves and only their immediate family (Mau et al., 2020). Sawitri et al. (2015) found that personal interests, self-efficacy, and career goals are more important predictors of a future career than family expectations in individualistic cultures. People raised in individualistic societies are more likely to be influenced by agentic goals such as skill mastery, achievement, status, and salary rather than parents and family (Abe & Chikoko, 2020; Fuesting et al., 2017; Yerdelen et al., 2016).

In contrast, collectivist cultures tend to foster individuals to act as a cohesive in-group, which provides protection and support for others in return for loyalty (Mau et al., 2020). Therefore, in collectivist societies, children are expected to accept unequal power distributions and follow the expectations set for them by their families; obedience is key, and children typically follow these expectations. For instance, Yerdelen et al. (2016) revealed that children in collectivist cultures may be expected to enter STEM fields to follow in their elders' footsteps. Thus, personal interests are much less significant to career trajectory than in individualistic cultures (Sawitri et al., 2015). Moreover, collectivist cultures report higher rates of communal goals over agentic goals as motivators for career selection (Fuesting et al., 2017). Zhou's (2015) study on international motivators to study or obtain postdoctoral positions in the U.S. showed that parents from collectivist cultures encourage their children to work in the U.S. for more prestige, career autonomy, and a better life.

Parental socioeconomic status also significantly predicts whether a child will attend college (Yerdelen et al., 2016). For example, Yerdelen et al. (2016) noted that parent income and education level are significant predictors of college selection and math and science achievement. Moreover, socioeconomic status influences access to resources and experiences. Thus, some families and parents must be made aware of STEM career opportunities. With this knowledge,

parents can guide their children to enter a specific field, particularly in technology-related areas (Scheitle & Ecklund, 2017; Yerdelen et al., 2016). Also, religion and spirituality can influence an individual's likelihood of entering a STEM field. Religious people are more likely to be discouraged from entering STEM careers, particularly physics- and biology-related fields (Scheitel & Ecklund, 2017). The findings of Scheitel and Ecklund (2017) reflect that lower levels of interest in science and higher rates of belief in creationism mediate the religious influence on entering STEM careers.

The literature exploring the external factors that influence international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue a STEM career must be more extensive. As this population continues to grow in advanced STEM workforce roles in the U.S., an understanding of the array of ways in which these scholars were motivated to enter STEM could be helpful to U.S. broadening participation efforts. Exploring these factors could provide a roadmap for identifying ways to further diversify and foster STEM interest at a young age in the U.S. At the time of writing, no known studies have examined the unique formative experiences of international STEM postdoctoral scholars. Therefore, this study aims to better understand how external career motivations were developed at a young age and influenced their career trajectory.

## **Conceptual Framework**

This study was guided by the conceptual framework of Duffy and Dik (2009), which identifies four external influences in the career development process (EICDP): family expectations and needs, life circumstances, spiritual and religious factors, and social service motivations. Conceptual frameworks are applied in qualitative inquiry to serve as a foundation of established knowledge, to offer logical explanations for the relationships observed, and to reveal nuanced understandings of a phenomenon. Thus, the EICDP was selected as the conceptual framework to ground the deductive coding protocol during this study's data analysis phase and to serve as a channel by which to consider the implications of the findings. While Duffy and Dik (2009) acknowledged the literature focusing on internal influences, they highlighted the need for a conceptual framework that addresses the external factors influencing a person's career decisions. Thus, Duffy and Dik (2009) expanded upon work supported by volition-based influences (a person's degree of freedom of choice) on factors that sway individuals' career decisions.

Family expectations and needs are considered the most significant external factors because family origin frequently relates to career aspirations, interests, perceived self-efficacy, feelings of support, and degree of volition. Naturally, the extent and form of family expectations and needs vary by culture and race. For example, in collectivist cultures, parents commonly select their children's career paths, and the child may experience guilt and shame if they diverge from the intended path (Duffy & Dik, 2009). Family expectations typically supersede the internal influences and desires of collectivist children. In Zhou's (2015) study, international students reflect these sentiments by sharing that they carry their families' goals, pride, and dreams into their work. The second major factor in a person's career decision is life circumstances, which Duffy and Dik (2009) refer to as events that assist or hinder career development and advancement. Events assisting career development may include unexpected job opportunities. Meanwhile, poverty, marginalization, and stigmatization may hinder career aspirations, as circumstances outside of meeting one's basic needs may seem unrealistic. Moreover, sudden

changes such as job loss, sickness, market changes, natural disasters, and economic shifts can influence career aspirations and development (Duffy & Dik, 2009).

Third, spiritual and religious factors may influence a person’s career aspirations (Duffy & Dik, 2009). A study by Abe and Chikoko (2020) regarding STEM graduate student career decisions in South Africa noted that spirituality and morality are closely linked and provide direction and meaning in one’s career choice. Finally, Duffy and Dik (2009) identified social service motivations as the fourth external factor influencing an individual’s career development. This factor encompasses one’s desire to improve the external world, such as following a calling to serve others with a desire to help the common good. Hernandez et al. (2011) expanded on this notion by indicating that many people select their jobs because they feel it is their “calling.” Furthermore, Zhou (2015) reported that international scholars were inspired to pursue a STEM career to give back to the scientific community.

## Methodology

**Research Design.** An instrumental case study (Stake, 1995) was utilized to explore the external factors that influenced international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue a STEM career. Instrumental case studies are valuable when seeking to illuminate a specific concern or problem within a setting that may be ambiguous to cursory observers. Interviews conducted with 20 international STEM postdoctoral scholars were analyzed deductively with the EICDP conceptual framework (Duffy & Dik, 2009). The research question that guided this study was: What external factors influence international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue a STEM career?

**Participants.** Fifty STEM postdoctoral scholars were recruited from the National Postdoctoral Association (NPA) via an email alert, although this inquiry analyzed the interviews of only the international STEM postdoctoral scholars. Participation was incentivized with a \$25 e-gift card. The sample comprised a diverse group of 20 participants with equal numbers of individuals who self-identified as male and female. The ages ranged between 34 to 46 years. The postdoctoral scholars were from Brazil, Canada, China, Columbia, Cuba, France, India, Iran, Italy, Nigeria, Thailand, and New Zealand. General STEM disciplines included biochemistry, biology, chemistry, data science, engineering, environmental science, medicine, and neuroscience. A summary of participant demographics is listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** *Participant Demographics*

| <b>Pseudonym</b> | <b>Gender</b> | <b>Age</b> | <b>Country</b> | <b>General Discipline<sup>1</sup></b> |
|------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Armando          | Male          | 46         | Colombia       | Engineering                           |
| Angela           | Female        | 35         | Colombia       | Engineering                           |
| Ajay             | Male          | Unknown    | India          | Data Science                          |
| Analia           | Female        | 38         | New Zealand    | Biology                               |
| Abeo             | Male          | 36         | Canada         | Engineering                           |
| Camila           | Female        | 43         | Italy          | Engineering                           |
| Dahlia           | Female        | 39         | India          | Chemistry                             |
| Eugene           | Male          | 44         | Columbia       | Engineering                           |

|         |        |    |          |                       |
|---------|--------|----|----------|-----------------------|
| Eya     | Female | 34 | Nigeria  | Chemistry             |
| Jade    | Female | 36 | Thailand | Engineering           |
| Jian    | Male   | 34 | China    | Engineering           |
| Luna    | Female | 38 | France   | Biology               |
| Morgan  | Female | 35 | Iran     | Neuroscience          |
| Naadir  | Male   | 39 | Iran     | Engineering           |
| Nadeesh | Male   | 35 | India    | Biology               |
| Nandill | Male   | 42 | India    | Biochemistry          |
| Suzanne | Female | 34 | Cuba     | Biology               |
| Sylvie  | Female | 38 | Brazil   | Medicine              |
| Sanjay  | Male   | 38 | India    | Environmental Science |
| Sudhir  | Male   | 39 | India    | Medicine              |

<sup>1</sup> General discipline is used to aid in masking the participants' identities.

**Data Collection.** Following Institutional Review Board approval, all participants were provided with a consent form detailing the purpose of the study, survey and interview procedures, and safeguards in place to protect their privacy and confidentiality. Before the interviews commenced, participants completed an online, open-ended survey to gather their demographic information. A semi-structured interview protocol was created with pre-developed and open-ended probing questions for the researchers to seek clarification and meaning during the interview (Patton, 2015). Queries focused on participants' academic and personal backgrounds that led them to pursue a PhD and postdoctoral position, experiences during their postdoctoral appointment, and their process in identifying their career goals. Interviews averaged 60 minutes in length and ranged from 20-75 minutes. All participants were given pseudonyms, and only de-identified participant interview transcripts were stored on a secured server accessible only to the research team. Sample questions relevant to this inquiry included:

1. Talk to me about your childhood and any early education experiences that led you to pursue a career in STEM.
2. Who in your life encouraged you to pursue a PhD, and why were they influential?
3. What experiences make you feel as though you belong in a STEM career?
4. What are your long-term career goals? How did you come to that decision?
5. What are the most critical factors in determining your career path moving forward?

**Reflexivity and Positionality.** Throughout the study, the research team engaged in individual and collective reflexivity (Patton, 2015) by reflecting upon, bracketing out, and dialoguing about experiences, values, and beliefs concerning the external factors that motivate individuals to pursue a career in STEM. In qualitative research, reflexivity is a crucial component of inquiry, positioning researchers to consider their bias and its potential impact on meaning-making and interpretations during data analysis. Lincoln and Guba (1985) contended that researchers must disclose their positionality so readers know the unique perspectives they bring to the study. The research team comprised social science American women trained in qualitative research methods within educational settings. One is a professor, and the other a doctoral student; both are engaged in STEM education research, particularly in efforts to diversify the professoriate. Neither hold a STEM academic background nor have held a postdoctoral position.

**Data Analysis.** Stake's (1995) four-step deductive data analysis process of direct interpretation, categorical aggregation, pattern recognition, and naturalistic generalizations was utilized to analyze the interviews. The EICDP conceptual framework (Duffy & Dik, 2009) was used to develop a deductive coding protocol focused on the four key external factors that influence a person's career decision: family expectations and needs, life circumstances, spiritual and religious factors, and social service motivations. Researchers first used the coding protocol to independently make direct interpretations of the interview data by determining the EICDP factors that emerged in the data. This process led to the identification of 23 distinct codes. In the second step, categorical aggregation was accomplished by collectively reviewing the nuanced codes identified in step one and categorizing the codes into five preliminary themes.

Using Stake's (1995) third step of pattern recognition, the researchers developed more precise codes by refining the grouping of associated data, developing fuse codes, and reconceptualizing the preliminary themes. This allowed the team to identify the external factors that influenced international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue STEM careers. This process resulted in three themes: (1) parents were highly encouraging, (2) a love of science was nurtured in school, and (3) they were eager to engage in and promote scientific innovation. In the last step, the themes were evaluated to assess their naturalistic generalization by ensuring the final themes represented the totality of the data and could be applied broadly to other contexts (Stake, 1995).

**Trustworthiness.** Multiple verification strategies were employed to ensure the findings were trustworthy by attending to credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Researchers utilized cross-case synthesis to address credibility, assessing whether themes were similar or different among the participants' perspectives (Patton, 2015). Thick, rich descriptions with participant quotes aided in the transferability of the findings (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). The researchers' reflexivity and statement of positionality bolstered the findings' dependability by providing transparency about their backgrounds and experiences on this topic. Confirmability of the findings and conclusions was made possible by involving multiple researchers in using Stake's (1995) four-step deductive data analysis process and by providing several feedback loops to validate the themes (Patton, 2015).

**Limitations.** As in all research inquiries, this study has several limitations. First, the research team did not conduct member checks because arranging and conducting interviews was difficult due to participants' demanding schedules. Member checking might have provided more complex and nuanced depictions of the external factors that influenced participants to pursue a STEM career. While the study exposed researcher bias through reflexivity and positionality, its potential to influence the findings and interpretations cannot be guaranteed. Last, this inquiry is primarily approached from an outsider's vantage point.

## **Findings**

**Theme 1: Parents were Highly Encouraging.** All participants spoke highly of their parents' influence in encouraging them to succeed in school and promoting a STEM career pathway. Interestingly, nearly all had at least one parent in a STEM career, but few held a PhD. The parents of Abeo, who is of Nigerian descent from Canada, worked in a local hospital. He noted, "A lot of my early childhood experiences...primed me in this direction...definitely a lot of

expectation to go into medicine or some STEM career.” Eya, from Nigeria, remarked, “Everybody wanted their child to be a doctor...my dad decreed that I was going to go to medical school.” Both Abeo and Eya initially planned to become medical doctors but became more interested in the research side of medicine while in college. Despite this career shift, each felt their parents supported their decision. Eya shared, “I owed it to my parents that whatever I was going to do, that I should do it to the best of my ability...and that’s what kept me motivated.”

Participants also indicated that a “culture of science” permeated their households. Sanjay, from India, whose father is an engineer and sister is a medical doctor, stated, “There was a science focus in my family.” Naadir, from Iran, reported that his father is a university professor and noted, “I basically became familiar with the academic environment from childhood...he always expected me to get a terminal degree...it was kind of in my blood to just follow the path my father pursued.” Similarly, Angela, from Columbia, whose parents are engineering professors, shared, “I grew up very much at the university...I thought having a PhD was normal...seeing the type of career my parents had influenced me to want to be a professor.” Even those who did not come from a family of scientists and engineers shared that their parents “valued finding joy in school, which was a motivating factor to do well in science classes in school,” as Suzanne from Cuba described.

In all cases, the postdoctoral scholars shared that their parents equated having a good life with an excellent education. Sudhir, from India, stated, “My mom and dad said, ‘Hey, you need to study hard...higher education is very important’...they made it clear that with a good education, you get more choices on the kind of career you can pursue and the life you will have.” Jade, from Thailand, indicated her father “never treated me as I’m a girl...I was raised up being rightfully independent...he didn’t try to have me thinking to go get your masters and find a job and get married.” Similarly, Dahlia, from India, described her parents as “always encouraging me to do well in school and learn more things so I could have a prestigious life.” Likewise, Nadeesh, from India, commented, “I come from a poor family, but they did not ask me to go for a job, they asked me to just study and do well in school.”

**Theme 2: A Love of Science was Nurtured in School.** While parents were the most pivotal influence on the postdoctoral scholars’ pursuit of a STEM career, their early love of science also played an important role. Analia, from New Zealand, said she “found school easy. I enjoyed learning and studying and doing science.” Ajay, from India, knew he could have a career in science when he realized he excelled in school: “I was having very good grades, I was my high school’s topper, and I was liking my courses.” Likewise, Luna, from France, remarked, “I really love science, and it was kind of easy for me to study biology...you have to have really good scores at school, you have to work really hard, you have to go to really selective schools to be a biologist.” Naadir, from Iran, indicated he “was focused on just trying to be the best student...the initial success of passing the national exam with a good grade gave me the encouragement to pursue a career in engineering.”

This early love of science and success in school translated into unique experiences for the participants. Eugene, from Columbia, noted that while in high school, he worked with a Columbian scientist who developed the first synthetic vaccine to treat malaria; he was able to “show teachers and classmates in high school [the scientist’s] work, his procedures, his results.”



Suzanne, from Cuba, said, “I’ve always been highly competent in science and science work which led me to receive scholarships and awards.” Dahlia, from India, remarked her high school chemistry teacher recognized her strong academic performance and still serves as an important mentor in her life: “She’s my role model, she keeps me motivated and encourages me to work hard.” These formative STEM experiences, academic accolades, and relationships with those in STEM careers were vital to nurturing the postdoctoral scholars’ early love of science.

**Theme 3: Eager to Engage in and Promote Scientific Innovation.** Encouragement from parents, a love for science, and an eagerness to engage in and promote scientific innovation led the postdoctoral scholars to pursue a STEM career. Nearly all discussed excitement about the possibility of real-world application of the STEM work in which they were involved. Nandill, from India, discussed his interest in the biotechnology field, as it gave him the opportunity to be involved in cancer immunology research. He proudly spoke about “discovering a new monoclonal antibody which inhibits a toxic function in protein that can lead to cancer drug therapies.” Relative to her research on Parkinson’s disease, Morgan, from Iran, noted, “I want to get safe and efficacious treatments to the people who need them.” Camila, from Italy, summarized it for the participants by stating the significance of “applying in practice what we are researching in academia.”

The postdoctoral scholars also discussed the need for academics and researchers to better communicate science as a means of promoting STEM. Jian, from China, shared, “I want to be able to organize information better in my field and come up with a way to better explain it. I want it to be easier for people to access the field and all of the information within it.” Eya, from Nigeria, intimated a similar sentiment: “I enjoy talking about science...I like thinking about simpler ways to portray complex material, to make it simpler for people to understand, this is what I enjoy about science.” Communicating science was of great importance to Angela, from Columbia: “On my Instagram accounts, I have one in English and one in Spanish, I teach people about microbes...I’ve become really involved in these inclusive scientific communication communities, and it’s something I want to continue pursuing.” Sanjay, from India, added he became interested in environmental science after spending time with water activists in India; consequently, he plans “to join advocacy groups and nonprofit groups to better communicate the need for equitable water policies that benefit people and the environment.”

Promoting scientific innovation and discovery by working with and inspiring students was stressed by nearly all participants. Sylvie, from Brazil, said she was drawn to “an academic position where I could practice clinically and also do research and teach students.” Sudhir, from India, commented, “I want to impart education to the upcoming generation because this is how you make them better. This is how you make them understand, ‘hey, like these are the troubled parts of science, and you need to work on this.’” Eugene, from Columbia, remarked, “I feel in some way inspired to teach about research, how to carry research out, and influence others about the importance of research.” With a desire to extend his research even further, Armando, from Columbia, expressed an interest in moving beyond benchwork and transitioning into operating a lab: “I want to take more leadership responsibilities and lead a team of student researchers by running a lab and helping them to be the best chemist or chemical engineer.” With great pride, participants declared one of their greatest joys was promoting scientific innovation with students.

## Discussion

The purpose of this instrumental case study (Stake, 1995) was to explore the external factors that influenced international STEM postdoctoral scholars to pursue a career in STEM. The deductive analysis of the interviews was conducted through the lens of EICDP, as postulated by Duffy and Dik (2009). While a small body of literature exists regarding external influences on career trajectories, this is the first study to explicitly examine the career influences of international STEM postdoctoral scholars. The findings of this study revealed three key themes. First, participants spoke of having highly encouraging parents; second, participants' love of science was nurtured in school; and third, participants noted they were driven to a STEM career because they were eager to engage in and promote scientific innovation. These findings expand upon the sparse literature on this topic (Craig et al., 2018; Hernandez et al., 2011; Ing, 2014; Mau et al., 2020; Sawitri et al., 2015; Šimunović & Babarović, 2021; Stephan et al., 2015; Yerdelen et al., 2016; Zhou, 2015).

Notably, nearly all participants had at least one highly educated parent in a STEM career, and parents were the primary encouragers to pursue a STEM career. As Hudson et al. (2018) noted, STEM careers are considered prestigious and secure, and parents of the international postdoctoral scholars reiterated these descriptors to their children. Most indicated that a STEM career would either improve or maintain their standard of living, which connects to the research of others (Craig et al., 2018; Ing, 2014; Scheitle & Ecklund, 2017; Stephen et al., 2015; Zhou, 2015). Interestingly, most participants came from collectivist cultures; thus, they were more likely to follow their parents' career advice and wishes (Abe & Chikoko, 2020; Fuesting et al., 2017; Mau et al., 2020; Yerdelen et al., 2016). While some veered from the specific career their parents had hoped for them, such as becoming a medical doctor, they felt their parents ultimately wanted them to pursue a career of their choice. Thus, participants closely fulfilled their parents' expectations by blending them with their own career passions. The findings also indicate that those from collectivist cultures were inspired to follow in their parents' career footsteps, echoing the findings of other researchers (Fuesting et al., 2017; Mau et al., 2020; Sawitri et al., 2015; Yerdelen et al., 2016). However, those from individualistic cultures were more likely to choose a STEM career due to their personal interest in science and strong self-efficacy for science. Nevertheless, nearly all spoke of a STEM career as a "calling," as described by Hernandez et al. (2011), and as a way to give back to the scientific community (Zhou, 2015).

The findings of this study closely align with the conceptual framework of EICDP postulated by Duffy and Dik (2009). The first theme, parents were highly encouraging, closely connected with the first factor of the EICDP, family expectations and needs. For instance, participants discussed having parents in STEM that encouraged them to follow suit or had parents who viewed STEM careers as offering a good lifestyle and encouraged their children to seek out these career fields. The second theme, a love of science was nurtured in school, corresponds with the second factor of life circumstances. For instance, the participants spoke about the development of a science identity and the ways in which that identity was nurtured through academic accolades and by teachers. The third theme, eagerness to engage in and promote scientific innovation, relates to factor four, social service motivations. Participants noted the desire to apply their research to the real world, better communicate science to others, and inspire students to pursue STEM research, thus positioning their actions as social service. Nevertheless, our analysis yielded no findings

identifying religion or spirituality as a significant factor in international STEM postdoctoral career decisions. This finding could be because the interviewers did not specifically probe for religious or spiritual influence, which sometimes can be taboo or sensitive to explore outside one's family. Also, Scheitel and Ecklund (2017) found that religion can deter individuals from pursuing a STEM career. Therefore, the conceptual framework of Duffy and Dik (2009) may be less applicable to those in STEM.

**Implications.** This study's findings illustrate the ways in which family, schools, and community influence the STEM career trajectories of international postdoctoral scholars. This knowledge base can be valuable when seeking to recruit and retain them in the U.S. STEM workforce. For instance, knowing they are attracted to STEM because of an eagerness to engage in and promote scientific innovation with students could suggest higher education positions that encompass the opportunity to mentor students in research may be highly attractive. It also is important to note that international higher education pathways are often quite different than in the U.S. Some students are only allowed to pursue a college education once they pass a rigorous entrance examination. Others will be afforded this opportunity through the politics of family influence and elitism. These distinct pathways suggest limitations on the talents that may arrive in U.S. postdoctoral positions.

The identified external factors also could be particularly instructive to U.S. primary and secondary school teachers and administrators as they engage parents on the career aspirations they hold for their children, strengthen students' love of science, and ground curriculum in local community needs to foster an early interest in STEM. For example, schools could host parent academies, offer field trips to STEM labs, fund science fairs, sponsor STEM clubs, partner with local higher education institutions to provide STEM camps, and connect with local agencies to enhance their STEM curriculum. U.S. higher education faculty can capitalize on these findings by involving students in research and service projects rooted in real-world needs, such as problem-based service-learning initiatives that give back to the local community. Moreover, these kinds of school- and institution-based activities can shed light on ways to nurture the STEM talents and interests of U.S. students and postdoctoral scholars of color who also seek to better their communities through fostering a greater sense of social justice in STEM and mentor the next generation (McGee & Bentley, 2017; Mendez et al., 2022; Yadav et al., 2020).

**Future Research.** Future exploration is warranted on this topic using the EICDP postulated by Duffy and Dik (2009), as scant literature is devoted to this topic with international STEM postdoctoral scholars in mind. Possessing a greater understanding of the external factors that drive STEM career trajectories from a young age can be fruitful in efforts to broaden and diversify STEM participation in the U.S. A more directed inquiry into exploring religious and spiritual motivations to pursue STEM careers also could prove valuable, as well as how collectivist and individualistic cultures promote STEM careers. While the literature is vibrant on the role of parents and families in encouraging STEM careers, less is known about how a love of science is nurtured and individuals are drawn to engage in and promote science innovation. Finally, it may be valuable to explore the intersection of career trajectories between international postdoctoral scholars and U.S. postdoctoral scholars of color, given their similar interests in bettering their communities and mentoring students.

## Conclusion

As the U.S. grapples with the need to broaden and diversify participation in the global STEM workforce, a greater understanding of the external factors that influenced international postdoctoral scholars to pursue a career in STEM could prove to be critical information. This instrumental case study (Stake, 1995) provides the first known exploration in this area. International STEM postdoctoral scholars were influenced to pursue a STEM career through three external factors: parents were highly encouraging, a love of science was nurtured in school, and they were eager to engage in and promote scientific innovation. Not only could this knowledge base be used to better support the recruitment and retention of international postdoctoral scholars in the U.S., but it also can provide important considerations for U.S. educational entities seeking to promote STEM career pathways domestically and abroad.

## Funding Acknowledgment

This research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF) Alliance for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP; award #1821008). Any opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations belong solely to the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

## References

- Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020). Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7, Article 60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00256-x>
- Adhikari, P. (2017). Motivation in pursuing advanced degrees in STEM fields among domestic and international students. *The Young Researcher*, 1(1), 146–155. <http://www.theyoungresearcher.com/papers/adhikari.pdf>
- Craig, C. J., Verma, R., Stokes, D., Evans, P., & Abrol, B. (2018). The influence of parents on undergraduate and graduate students' entering the STEM disciplines and STEM careers. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(6), 621–643. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1431853>
- Duffy, R. D., & Dik, B. J. (2009). Beyond the self: External influences in the career development process. *The Career Development Quarterly*, 58(1), 29–43. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-0045.2009.tb00171.x>
- Fuesting, M. A., Diekman, A. B., & Hudiburg, L. (2017). From classroom to career: The unique role of communal processes in predicting interest in STEM careers. *Social Psychology of Education: An International Journal*, 20(4), 875–896. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-017-9398-6>
- Haley, K. J., Jaeger, A. J., & Levin, J. S. (2014). The influence of cultural social identity on graduate student career choice. *Journal of College Student Development*, 55(2), 101–119. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2014.0017>
- Hernandez, E. F., Foley, P. F., & Beitin, B. K. (2011). Hearing the call: A phenomenological study of religion in career choice. *Journal of Career Development*, 38(1), 62–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845309358889>

- Hudson, T. D., Haley, K. J., Jaeger, A. J., Mitchall, A., Dinin, A., & Dunstan, S. B. (2018). Becoming a legitimate scientist: Science identity of postdocs in STEM fields. *Review of Higher Education, 41*(4), 607–639. <https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2018.0027>
- Ing, M. (2014). Can parents influence children's mathematics achievement and persistence in STEM careers? *Journal of Career Development, 41*(2), 87–103. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845313481672>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.
- Mau, W., Chen, S., Li, J., & Johnson, E. (2020). Gender differences in STEM career aspiration and social-cognitive factors in collectivist and individualist cultures. *Administrative Issues Journal: Connecting Education, Practice, and Research, 10*(1), 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.5929/2020.10.1.3>
- McGee, E., & Bentley, L. (2017). The equity ethic: Black and Latinx college students reengineering their STEM careers toward justice. *American Journal of Education, 124*(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1086/693954>
- Mendez, S. L., Starkey, K. E., Cooksey, S. E., & Conley, V. M. (2022). Environmental influences on the STEM identity and career intentions of Latinx STEM postdoctoral scholars. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education, 21*(4), 367–385. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1538192721992436>
- National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES). (2023). *Survey of Graduate Students and Postdoctorates in Science and Engineering* (NSF 23-312). National Science Foundation. <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf23312>.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Sawitri, D. R., Creed, P. A., & Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J. (2015). Longitudinal relations of parental influences and adolescent career aspirations and actions in a collectivist society. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 25*(3), 551–563. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12145>
- Scheitle, C. P., & Ecklund, E. H. (2017). Recommending a child enter a STEM career: The role of religion. *Journal of Career Development, 44*(3), 251–265. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845316646879>
- Šimunović, M., & Babarović, T. (2021). The role of parental socializing behaviors in two domains of student STEM career interest. *Research in Science Education, 51*, 1055–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-020-09938-6>
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Sage.
- Stephan, P., Scellato, G., & Franzoni, C. (2015). International competition for PhDs and postdoctoral scholars: What does (and does not) matter. *Innovation Policy and the Economy, 15*(1), 73–113. <https://doi.org/10.1086/680060>
- Yadav, A., Seals, C. D., Soto Sullivan, C. M., Lachney, M., Clark, Q., Dixon, K. G., & Smith, M. J. T. (2020). The forgotten scholar: Underrepresented minority postdoc experiences in STEM fields. *Educational Studies: Journal of the American Educational Studies Association, 56*(2), 160–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131946.2019.1702552>
- Yerdelen, S., Kahraman, N., & Tas, Y. (2016). Low socioeconomic status students' STEM career interest in relation to gender, grade level, and STEM attitude. *Journal of Turkish Science Education, 13*, 59–74. <https://doi.org/10.12973/tused.10171a>
- Zhou, J. (2015). International students' motivation to pursue and complete a Ph.D. in the U.S. *Higher Education, 69*, 719–733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s1073-014-9802-5>